

SHELTON, CT 06484-8000

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR F-180 6429 12/27/2000 Clare E. Woodman 09/748,995 **EXAMINER** 07/21/2004 919 7590 PITNEY BOWES INC. PARADISO, JOHN ROGER 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 3000 MSC 26-22 3721

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-10
	09/748,995	WOODMAN, CLARE	E.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R Paradiso	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approporiginally set in the final Off	riate extension fice action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT բ	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were n	iewly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			an t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		2.
0. ☐ Other:		Paro	C.
		9	
Land, Example		EUGENE KIM PRIMAPY	ER
/ \			

U.S/Patent and Trademark Office P/TOL-393 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claimed "different proprietary control systems" raise new issues that would require further search. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have been answered in previous Office Actions and are not deemed persuasive..